

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/535,585	KUME ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
SATYENDRA K. SINGH	1657	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) SATYENDRA K. SINGH. (3) _____.
 (2) DAVID R. SALIWANCHIK (attorney of Record). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 April 2009

Time: 2:45 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1,6,14,22

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Satyendra K. Singh/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1657

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's attorney of record Mr. David R. Saliwanchik (phone 352-375-8100) was contacted by the examiner on phone and discussed the amendments to claims 1, 6, 14, and 22 (mostly issues related to claim informalities), which was agreed upon by Mr. Saliwanchik. The amended claims have been approved in an in house patentability conference with the primary Examiner Irene Marx and SPE Jon Weber on April 8th 2009. Mr. Saliwanchik agreed to the discussed claim amendments proposed by the Examiner.

/Satyendra K. Singh/
Examiner, Art Unit 1657